Human Powered Vehicle

Yousef Alanzi, Evan Bunce, Cody Chenoweth, Haley Flenner, Brent Ives, Connor Newcomer October 23rd, 2015

Concept Generation and Selection





Overview

- Introduction
- Criteria
- Analytical Hierarchy Matrix
- Relative Weights of Criteria
- Concept Generation
- Decision Matrix
- Project plan
- Conclusion
- References

Introduction

- There is a need for safe, efficient, reliable transportation for people in underdeveloped countries
- The goal of this project is to reduce transportation costs by designing and building safe, efficient, and reliable human-powered vehicles
- Design objectives include weight, cost, speed, acceleration, and size
- Constraints include a new design and pure human power
- Current competition vehicles are made of metal alloys or composites, or a mixture of both, with a recumbent riding position and minimal aerodynamic effects

Criteria

- Frame
 - Strength
 - Weight
 - Ease of Manufacturing
 - \circ Aesthetics
 - Cost
 - Durability
- Steering
 - Ease of Use
 - Cost
 - Ease of Manufacturing
 - Power Input
- Material
 - Strength
 - Weight
 - Ease of Manufacturing
 - Power Input

- Fairing
 - Strength
 - Weight
 - Ease of Manufacturing
 - Aesthetics
 - Cost
 - Durability
- Power Input
 - \circ Speed
 - Ease of Manufacturing
 - Safety
 - Cost
- Seating Position
 - \circ Ease of Use
 - Cost
 - Ease of Manufacturing
 - Comfort

Analytical Hierarchy Matrix

Framing

	Strength	Weight	Ease of Manufacturing	Aesthetics	Cost	Durability
Strength	1.000	0.200	1.000	9.000	4.000	2.000
Weight	5.000	1.000	9.000	8.000		3.000
Ease of Manufacturing	1.000	0.111	1.000	9.000	1.000	4.000
Aesthetics	0.111	0.125	0.111	1.000	0.125	0.125
Cost	0.250	0.111	1.000	8.000	1.000	1.000
Durability	0.500	0.333	0.250	8.000	1.000	1.000
Total	7.861	1.881	12.361	43.000	16.125	11.125

Relative Weights of Framing Criteria

	Strength	Weight	Ease of Manufacturing	Aesthetics	Cost	Durability	Overall
Strength	0.127	0.106	0.081	0.209	0.248	0.180	0.159
Weight	0.636	0.532	0.728	0.186	0.558	0.270	0.485
Ease of Manufacturing	0.127	0.059	0.081	0.209	0.062	0.360	0.150
Aesthetics	0.014	0.066	0.009	0.023	0.008	0.011	0.022
Cost	0.032	0.059	0.081	0.186	0.062	0.090	0.085
Durability	0.064	0.177	0.020	0.186	0.062	0.090	0.100

Concept Generation: Fairing







Concept 4







Decision Matrix: Fairing

Fairing	Weight	Efficiency	Ease of Manufacturing	Cost	Durability	Overall
Concept 1	4	3	3	2	3	3.164
Concept 2	4	5	3	2	3	4.132
Concept 3	4	1	3	2	3	2.196
Concept 4	4	2	3	2	3	2.680
Concept 5	4	5	3	2	4	4.200

Concept Generation: Power Input





Concept 4

Concept 5





Concept 2



Decision Matrix: Power Input

Power Input	Speed	Ease of manufacturing	Safety	Cost	Overall
Concept 1	3	2	3	3	2.815
Concept 2	5	5	5	5	5.000
Concept 3	2	2	2	4	1.834
Concept 4	3	2	4	2	2.454
Concept 5	1	1	1	1	0.815

Concept Generation: Seating

Concept 2

Concept 1

Concept 4

Concept 5

Decision Matrix: Seating

Seating	Ease of Use	Cost	Ease of Manufacturing	Comfort	Overall
Concept 1	5	4	4	3	4.401
Concept 2	3	3	3	4	3.188
Concept 3	1	1	1	1	1.001
Concept 4	4	2	2	5	3.721
Concept 5	2	4	4	5	3.025

Concept Generation: Frame

Concept 4

Concept 3

Concept 2

Concept 5

Concept 1

Decision Matrix: Frame

Frame	Strength	Weight	Ease of Manufacturing	Aesthetics	Cost	Durability	Overall
Concept 1	2	5	4	3 3 2		2	3.589
Concept 2	4	4	3	5	5	4	4.827
Concept 3	5	1	1	1	1	3	1.812
Concept 4	3	4	2	5 5 4		4	4.569
Concept 5	1	2	5	1 3		2	2.802

Concept Generation: Steering

Concept 1

Concept 2

Concept 3

Decision Matrix: Steering

Steering	Ease of use	Cost	Ease of manufacturing	Power input	Overall
Concept 1	3	1	1	5	2.905
Concept 2	5	3	3	1	3.797
Concept 3	5	4	4	1	4.031
Concept 4	5	4	4	1	4.031
Concept 5	5	4	5	1	4.216

Concept Generation: Material

www.mountainbike-review.com

www.mountainbike-review.com

www.hobbyking.com

www.mountainbike-review.com

www.endless-sphere.com

Decision Matrix: Material

Material	Strength	Weight	Ease of Manufacturing	Ease of Aesthetics		Durability	Overall
Steel	5	1	4	1	3	5	3.261
Aluminum	3	3	3	4	3	4	3.372
Carbon fiber	4	5	2	5	1	4	2.859
Fiberglass	2	4	2	2	3	2	3.121
Wood	1	2	5	3	3	1	2.853

Updated Project Plan

Task	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4	Week 5	Week 6	Week 7	Week 8	Week 9	Week 10	Week 11	Week 12	Week 13
Meet with client													
Problem definition													
SOTA research													
Establish objectives/constraints/requirements													
Criteria selection													
Analytical hierarchy matrix													
Concept generation													
Decision matrices													
Concept selection													
Concept simulation													
Initial analysis/simulated testing													
Design/model refinement													
Design review													
Model synthesis													
Design review													
Presentation/report finalization													
	TaskMeet with clientProblem definitionSOTA researchEstablish objective s/constraints/requirementsCriteria selectionAnalytical hierarchy matrixConcept generationDecision matricesConcept selectionConcept simulationInitial analysis/simulated testingDesign/model refinementDesign reviewModel synthesisDe sign reviewPresentation/report finalization	TaskWeek 1Meet with clientProblem definitionSOTA researchEstablish objective s/constraints/requirementsCriteria selectionAnalytical hierarchy matrixConcept generationDecision matricesConcept selectionConcept simulationInitial analysis/simulated testingDesign/model refinementDesign reviewModel synthesisDe sign reviewPresentation/report finalization	TaskWeek 1Week 2Meet with clientImage: Client	TaskWeek 1Week 2Week 3Meet with clientImage: Client	TaskWeek 1Week 2Week 3Week 4Meet with clientImage: Sector Secto	TaskWeek 1Week 2Week 3Week 4Week 5Meet with clientImage: Solar	TaskWeek 1Week 2Week 3Week 4Week 5Week 6Meet with clientImage: Second Sec	TaskWeek 1Week 2Week 3Week 4Week 5Week 6Week 7Meet with clientImage: Solar and S	TaskWeek 1Week 2Week 3Week 4Week 5Week 6Week 7Week 8Meet with clientImage: Solution of the	TaskWeek 1Week 2Week 3Week 4Week 6Week 6Week 7Week 8Week 9Meet with clientImage: client state stat	TaskWeek 1Week 2Week 3Week 4Week 5Week 6Week 7Week 8Week 9Week 10Meet with clientImage: Second Secon	TaskWeek 1Week 2Week 3Week 4Week 5Week 6Week 7Week 8Week 9Week 10Week 11Meet with clientImage: Strategy 1Image: Strategy 1	TaskWeek 1Week 2Week 3Week 4Week 5Week 6Week 7Week 8Week 9Week 10Week 11Week 12Meet with clientII <tdi< td="">IIIIII</tdi<>

Conclusions

- Strength, weight, efficiency, and ease of manufacturing are among the most important design criteria
- The teardrop fairing design of the Pulaski will be retained
- Foot-pedal power alone will be used to propel the vehicle
- The seat will be one piece and adjustable
- A laterally braced backbone frame will be employed
- Steering will be accomplished with two levers, one on each side of the seat
- The frame material will be aluminum
- The project remains on schedule

References

- American Society of Mechanical Engineers . n.d. <https://www.asme.org/about-asme>.
- Dieter, George. <u>Engineering Design: A Materials and Processing Approach.</u> New York: McGraw-Hill, 1983.